



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of.:

Asaf TAMIR et al

Serial No.: 09/853,017

Filed: May 10, 2001

For: **SONIC/ULTRASONIC
AUTHENTICATION DEVICE**

Examiner: Susan Iris McFadden

W

Group Art Unit: 2626

Attorney Docket: 36434
(Previously: 452/65048)

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

Sir:

- (1) Applicant is a:
☒ small entity
☐ other than small entity

- (2) The fee for claims 37 C.F.R. §1.16(b)-(d) has been calculated as shown below:

| For | Claims after Amendment | Highest Claims Previously Paid |
|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Total Claims | 17 | 34 |
| Indep. Claims | 4 | 3 |

| Small Entity | |
|---------------|------------------|
| Rate | Fec |
| 0 x \$25 | \$ 0.00 |
| 1 x \$100 | \$ 100.00 |
| TOTAL: | \$ 100.00 |

| Other Than Small Entity | |
|----------------------------|---------|
| Rate | Fee |
| x \$ 50 | \$ 0.00 |
| x \$200 | \$ 0.00 |
| TOTAL: | \$ 0.00 |

- (3) A response to the Office Action dated August 30, 2006 X is filed herewith
_____ has been filed
- (4) Please charge the additional claim fee and any other amount required to Deposit Account
No. 50-1407. A duplicate copy of this form is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Yaakov Schatz
Yaakov Schatz
Reg. No. 44,320

February 28, 2007

12/87/08 15:32 FAX 793 303 3936

US Patent Office TC 2739

Q001



| | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/033,017 | TAMR ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Susan McFadden | 2826 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Susan McFadden (3) _____

(2) Alan Sore (4) _____

Date of interview: 07 December 2006

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: e) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 22

Identification of prior art discussed: Mark (5,826,871)

Agreement with respect to the claims: ☒ was reached, g) ☐ was not reached, h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner agrees that the Mark reference does not read on the claims. The Examiner suggested that the claims should be amended to specify that the card device is stand alone and to specify that the output generated is audio/visual perceptible by a user.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Alan Sore
 Examiner's signature, if required

U.S. PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE
 PTO/413 (Rev. 04-05)

Interview Summary

Form No. 20081207